R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

30-12-1861-2.

File No. 555-15

Serial Nos. 1-4.

1915.

(Inungrai)

Later references.

By LR 158-39(1-4)

21-26-1-7

3 '22 29 1 7

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4.

Part B for May. 17

SUBJECT.

Alienation of certain lands in Sodi Mallapura village in the Nelamangala Taluk endowed to the Shakkan at the place.

Dy. Commr. Bangalore. No. 2864. D. 17.5.17

forwards him a Copy of the final order passed in the Case, dismissing the appeal petn. & directing that in the best interests of the Shakkan & its endowments, the instn. should be arranged to be taken under Govt. management & requests Dy. Commr. to report early re the management of the properties of the instn. as well as the conduct & the requisites services thereon

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

No. 2865-6 Copy to applt.

D Appadurai.

G. R. S. 11] 1915

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 555 -15

FILE HEADING.

Alienation of certain lands in Jodi Mallapura village in the Nelamangala Taluk endowed to the Makan at Nelamangala.

From

The Dy. Commr, Bangalore District

No. 269 Dated 27-10-15 Received

Diary No. 691	Enclos. 1 file	Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports on the petition of Bandaji Sha Jistia Khadri of Nelamangala complaining of the unauthorized possession by Chick Hanumanthiah certain lands in Jodi Mallapura village endowed to the Makan at Nelamangala.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

One Bandaji Sha Jistia Khadri of Nelamangala petitioned this office complaining that one Hanumanthiah is in the unauthorized possession of certain lands

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—20,100 8 5 11

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 555 of 1915.

Serial No.

in Jodi Mallapura village endowed to the Makan at the place and praying that these lands might be restored to the institution. The petition was forwarded to the Dy. Commr for disposal or report if necessary, and the Dy. Commr has submitted a detailed report for order of Govt. After hearing the contending parties, the Dy. Commr reports that as the alienation of the lands in question took place prior to 31st Jany. 1853, the alienee is entitled to retain possession subject to the payment of a Jodi of one-half of the Survey assessment to the institn, and recommends that as the alienee offers to pay the full assessment, it might be recovered subject to petrs. rights to prove in a Competent Court that the opponent had been dispossessed and is not a Kadim Tenant. The Dy. Commr requests that Survey and Settlement may be introduced into the village so that a scale of expc may be fixed for the services in

for Cr

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—70 000 —17 12 15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 533 of 1915.

Serial No.

the makan, &c.

2. A draft calling for an extract of the detailed inam register is submitted for approval & other orders

W(844) 4.4.1916. 4/4/16

June for Wells

2. No 2456 of 13.4.16, D Inam Supt, calls for an extract from the Inam Register & the grant of the above Jodi village & the makan in question.

3. 4. From, the Supt of Inam settlements, Letter no 115 of 20.4.1916 forwards a copy of the Inam register called for at Sl 2.

L

5. The Inam register shows that the Jodi village of Mallapura in the Nelamangala Taluk is a "makan or Devadaya" inam intended for the support of Sha Ali Durveish makan at Nelamangala. It is an un Surveyed village measuring 509-5, and bearing an assessment of Rs 429-15-5.

6. One Bhandaji Sha Jistia Khadri,

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—70 000—17 12 15

(4

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 555 of 1915.

Serial No.

B

Manager of the makan petitioned in July 1912 complaining that one Chick Hanumanthiah is in the unauthorised possession of certain lands in the Jodi Village and praying that these lands might be resumed and restored to the institution. The petition was referred to the Dy. Commr. and that officer has submitted a report with record for orders of Govt.

K

The Dy. Commrs. report explains the facts of the case clearly.

7. Dwandar Bandagi Sab (grandfather of the manager) leased out to some lands (Varasefoudana Palya) of the Mallapura Village to Narari Setty (grandfather of Chick Hanumanthiah) on payment of 9 Varahas. The document is dated Vikriti Samvatsara corresponding to 1830-31, and the alienee lands seem to have continued in the family of the alienee since then. This document was produced in a civil litigation between the parties in 1885, and has been initialled by the under Secretary under date 19th August 1911

(original Deed) At no Encl. 11 C

E

When he investigated a dispute between the parties and passed orders as the Sub-Divisional Magistrate of Doddaballapur Sub-Divn

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—7000—17-12-15

(5

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 555 of 191 5.

Serial No.

8. In para 7 of his letter, the Dy. Commr states that the lands having been alienated prior to 31-1-1853 by the then makandar himself, the counter-petitioner Chick Hanumantiah is entitled to retain possession of these lands, under cl. A of Rule 2 of the alienation Rules of 877, subject to a jodi of one-half of the assessment. Mal officer recommends that as the alienee is agreeable to pay the full assessment which may be fixed by the Survey Deptt., it may be renewed subject to pets. rights to prove in a competent Court that the opponent has been dispossessed and is not a kadim Tenant.

Kyphiyat Dt 10.6 (914)

9. In para 8 of his letter, the Dy. Commr reports that there is another dispute between the parties regarding the casuarina trees standing on the lands in dispute and that he has issued orders directing the pets not to interfere with them till the question of lands is finally disposed of.

10. In para 9 of his letter, the Dy. Commr states that the pets has not maintained

F

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPD—70,000 17.12.15

(6

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 555 of 191 .

Serial No.

accounts in respect of the income of the Village, and that it cannot be said that the income is solely appropriated for the benefit of the institution - He therefore proposes the introduction of Survey and Settlements into the Village so that a scale of appn may be fixed for services in the institution that Dharmakartas may be appointed to supervise its affairs, and that the maintenance of regular accounts may be insisted upon.

11 Submitted. In October 1911 the case
W (RW) had come up before the
4.12 4/16 Tuticorin Sub Dn
Magistrate who ordered that
the said Hammandia should
retain possession of the lands in
question until evicted therefrom
in due course of law. Bangadi Sab
(the present petitioner) who was a
party in that case, being not
satisfied with this order of the
S D Magistrate, preferred a petition
to Govt in the Mugrai Deptt
and complained that Makkan
Inam lands are being unauthorisedly

NOTE—Contn. sheet

(7

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 533 of 1915.

Serial No.

made use of by one Hanumanta of the Village re This was forwarded for a report and the present reference is in reply to this.

May the case be posted for hearing and disposed of after hearing both the parties

12.6.12.16 The present enquiry was stated on ~~[illegible]~~ a miscellaneous petition and the petitioner is not entitled thereto. With a view however to set at rest a long standing dispute and protracted litigation upon which a great deal of money appears to have been spent, the case may be posted for hearing after issuing notices to the petitioner and his opponent Hanumantia (& members)

1076/13 12

he 12.12.16

No objection

15/12 Issue 16/12

Post the case with the record-books of cases

he 16.12.16

Submitted with Dft. notices to the 3rd inst.

M (GW) 8-2-17

appd 6.2.17

2 cl Nos 12 9-4/16-17

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPL—70 000—17 12 15

WD 1233—GPB—60 000—1-12-16—S. (8

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 555 of 191 5

Serial No.

Orgl Deed (in a cover)

The lands in question were leased out to the Respts' ancestor in 1831 and possession has been in that family for the last 35 years. The gatta fixed was 9 Varahas and the consent was probably the Beriz upon the lands in which case the tenants may be termed the 'Katini' tenants. A definite pronouncement is not practicable as there is no information of the Bijavani or extent of the lands in question.

As the lands have not been alienated or a permanent lease created, the Notn of 1877 would seem to be inapplicable and no action on the part of Govt to restore the land to the Mokhasa seems to be called for. The only way to improve the property is by the introduction of Survey and Settlement. The Mokhasadars are incapable of taking the necessary steps nor are they reported to be managing the ~~property~~ Mokhasa affairs satisfactorily. The village may be taken under Govt management & Survey settlement

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(9

ND 1233—GPB—60,000—1 12-16—S.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 555 of 1915.

Serial No.

introduced. The affairs of the Mahkan may also be placed in a proper footing after a settled rule is ensured

(Mr. Menon) 28.2.17

The appellant in person. The Respts. in person and also by advocate Mr. Gurunath Rao. The appellant states that he has been duly moved for the management of the properties being taken up by Govt. Submit for orders

2.3.17

ND 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

(10

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 553 of 1917

Serial No.

2810/20.4.17

The appellant's contention that the plaintiff has been in undisturbed possession of certain lands belonging to the Mahkam has not been proved. On the contrary, the Respt. has amply proved that the lands in suit have been in the possession & enjoyment of his family for over 80 years as per Kabuliyat dated 18 Bysack 1238 B.S. corresponding to 1830-31. The Respt. may be regarded as a Kadim tenant of the Mahkam and so long as the Appellant does not succeed in proving his contention that the Respt. was dispossessed of the lands by him for a period of 15 years, the Respt. cannot be regarded as such. It is clear from the Report of the Collector that the appellant and the Mahkam are both related to each other and that no accurate boundary has been kept by the Appellant between himself & the Mahkam. It is also necessary to ascertain the boundary & settlement in the village at an early date. Steps also must be taken for the early appointment of Karnadars & Managers in the Mahkam.

The appeal fails & is accordingly dismissed with costs.

20/4 Mee

20/4/17

The village Mathon is part of a zemindari known as the Mahkam and the Respt. is proved to pay bhil Survey cesses. Appellant has not been able to dispossess the Respt. of his holding & enjoyment of his lands. The arrangement is satisfactory in the interests of the Mahkam & there is no reason to disturb his possession.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(11

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 555 of 191 5.

Serial No.

Submtd. with a Dft. order and an ende to the pasting & appl
M(GW) 4.5.17 anm 5/5/17

Put up clean draft.
Lee 5.5.17

clean Dft. Submtd.
M(GW) 7.5.17 anm 7/5/17

N.I. Iter 13.

subd GT Mr member & Dewan
Lee 8.5.17

3036/10.5.17

seen
9/5 Lea anm 11/5
11.5.17

6457/11.5.17

Draft approved by Dewan.
anm 14/5

Hes 12.5.17

Lea 11.5.17

4 No. 2866 dt 17.5.17, to Dy Burgess forwarding a copy of the final order passed in the case, dismissing the appeal petn, & directing that in the last sentence of the Huzur's endorsement, the date 8th may be

NOTE—Contn. sheet

(P.B.—79 000—17.12.15

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

(12

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 555 of 191 5

Serial No.

be enhanced to be taken under Court management requests he to report early as regards the management of the hereditaments of the deceased as well as the conduct of the requisite services thereon.

Upper Bandarawela Vitarana Mudaliyar No. 2 865 H.D. 17.5.17, & the Lower Haramanawaya, P.S. a copy of the above for their info.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

DIARY No. 691

1915-1916
SECTION Inspn
FILE No. 555-15
SERIAL

VII
27/A/1915-16 (13

From The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Secretary to Govt
Revenue Department
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 27-10-1915

Received

General No S 247
No.

Enclosure No. Nil

Reporting arrest petition of Bandya Naika Jothegal Khadi of Nelamangala, Gupta Khal and Hanumantha in the unauthorised possession of the lands in Jodi Nallapura village enclosed to Bandya Naika Mahazar

GPB—1,50 000—20 6 11

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Bangalore District.

Dated Bangalore, th October 1915.

From

P. Chandy, Esquire, B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To

V.R.Parthasarathy Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Revenue Department,
(Huzur),
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honour to refer to your Official Memorandum No.111/Huz. R.6 12-1 dated the 30th July, 1915, forwarding for disposal the report, and petition of Bandigalu Basthi Thodra of Melamangala, praying that one Chik Hanumanthiah is in the wrongful possession of certain lands in the Jodi Mallapa Village endowed to the Bandigalu akkan at Melamangala and praying that the same may be recovered and restored to the akkan. I have the honour to state that the akkan in question is a Huzuri Institution endowed with a whole Inam Village of Allapur with a total extent of 509 acres and 5 guntas, bearing assessment of Rs.429-15-5, as per Quit Rent Register.

2. In the enquiry held by the Amildar the petitioner states, that he, as the manager of the institution, has been looking after the affairs of the village, that out of the total extent of the village one fourth of the lands has been given out for kandayam to the ryots, that the remaining lands, with the exception of the Devadaya and Service Inam are in his possession, that the counter petitioner Chik Hanumanthiah who quitted his kandayam lands some 5 years ago, has again taken wrongful possession of them.

3. The counter petitioner Chik Hanumanthiah states that the lands referred to are in his possession and enjoyment from the time of his forefathers, as they were given out to his grand-father Murari Setti for a fixed kandayam of 9 varahas, by the petitioner's grand-father Bandagi Shah in the year Vikrama corresponding to 1831, that he has improved the lands at a considerable cost by constructing wells and raising a tope and he has filed a document purporting to have been executed by the petitioner's grand-father in 1831 (vide No. 11 in the accompanying file). He states that in 1891, when the petitioner's brother filed suit against his (counter petitioner's) father in the Nelamangala Munsiff's Court for the recovery of an enhanced rate of kandayam a decree was accordingly passed, and produces a copy of the same (vide No. 31 in the file). He further states that it has been decided in the Sub Division Magistrate's Court that the petitioner should not interfere with the lands in question.

4. On further enquiry before the Amildar on the 10th June 1914, the petitioner admits, that the said lands had been given out for kandayam by his grand-father about 20 years ago, and that they were in the possession of the counter petitioner and his elders, but contends that they were taken back some 15 or 16 years ago, when the village was under the management of his brother Hussain Peer Sahib.

5. The parties were also heard before this office on the 6th October 1915.

6. The exact extent of the lands under dispute is not known as the village is surveyed. The counter petitioner claims the occupancy right over the lands in question as a kadim tenant in a jodi village, paying only kandayam to the inamdar. The petitioner urges that as he had quitted the lands for some time he is not entitled to reoccupancy of the same. But this question of possession of the lands has been fully discussed in the Judicial enquiry held by the Sub Division Magistrate and

and decided against the petitioner (vide No. 20 in the file).

7. Under the late Chief Commissioner's Notification No. 260 caluse II A dated the 17th November 1877, the lands being alienated prior to 31st January 1853 by the then Makkandar himself, the counter petitioner is entitled to retian possession of the lands subject to the payment of a jodi of one half of the assessment to the institution. But as the alienee is agreeable to pay the full assessment that may be fixed by the Survey Department, I beg to state that this might be recovered subject, of course, to petitioner's rights to prove in a competent court that the opponent had been dispossessed and is not a kadim tenant.

8. Apart from the lands, there is another dispute between the parties regarding the Casuarina trees standing on the lands in question. Upon a complaint from the counter petitioner Chik Hanumanthiah to the effect that the petitioner is cutting and removing the trees, orders have been issued that the petitioner should not interfere with the trees till the question of lands is finally disposed of.

9. From the statement of the petitioner made before the Amildar on the 10th June 1914, it is seen, that no accounts are maintained in respect of the income of the village. From this it cannot be said that the income of the village is appropriated solely for the benefit of the institution. I therefore request that survey and settlement may kindly be ordered to be introduced into the village, so that a scale of expenditure may be fixed for the services in the makkan, and suitable arrangements made for the better management of the affairs of the institution by appointing Dharmadarsees, and by insisting upon the maintenance of regular accounts of income and expenditure.

The connected file of vernacular records are herewith

herewith submitted for reference and kind return.

I have the honour to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,

for Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

absent from Station

Calls for an extract of the detailed inam register pertaining to the grant of Jodi Mallapura village in the Nelamangala Tk.

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 535-15

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 691

No. 2456.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

To

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore,

Date of issue 13. 4. 1916.

Signed by M. H. Ramamurthy for

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to furnish this office with an extract of the detailed Inam register pertaining to the grant of Jodi Mallapura village in the Nelamangala Taluk as inam for the benefit of Sha Ali Raza Dervish Makan at

over.

SUBJECT.

(9)
Velamangala.

I have etc.
Sd
Dft) am for Rev. Secretary
4/4/16

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8 6 11

No. 115
Enc. one

GPB—100—12-12-15

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore, 20th April 1916.

FROM D. K. DARASHAH, ESQ., B.A.,
Superintendent of Inam Settlements
in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

T. R. Thyagaraja Iyer, Esq. M.A.

Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Rev. Dept

SIR

As desired in your letter No. 2456 Mur. 555-15-2 dated 13th April 1916, I have the honor to forward herewith, a copy of the Inam register of Jodi Mallapura village in the Nelamangala Taluk.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

D K Darashah
Superintendent of
Inam Settlements

1916.

From L.R. Wright

The Superintendent,
Inam Settlements
in Mysore.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysor
Rev. Dept.

Dated } 20th April 191
Despatched }

No. 115
Enc. one.

SUBJECT.

Forwards a copy of the Inam Register of Goodi Mallapura in the Nelamangala Taluk.

Register sheet No. 255

Taluk Nelamangala

Sammat Nelamangala

Village. Mallapura (Jodi).

Copy of the Register of Inams in the village of Mallapura (Joole) in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entry relating to holding under one tenure in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
	Mahan or Devadaya	—	—	—

(22

Sammat of Nelamangala in the Taluk of Nelamang

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc, or liable to quit-rent, *i. e.* jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
Rs. A. P. 154 - 0 - 7 23 - 2 - 1 130 - 14 - 6.	1	For the Support of Shah Ali Durveish Sahan of Nelamangala which as per Amils Certificate is in good order and kept every night and Gorras are annually performed on Kartik Suddha 9 and Seala. It is distributed that the village was granted for Devarchak benefit which is a point to be decided by the Asst Assistant Inam Commn. Vide Amils remarks.	Jodi P. 7. U Rs. A. P 5 - 0 - 0 14 - 8 - 9 Paid from the year 1821.

Deduct Jari Inams 7 9 8

Balance 45 . .

As per account of 1834

Benin.

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE IN

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sanad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11
— u —	Sanads or Jakeads are reported to be lost.

of

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Purnah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
—	Talook Pymaishy of 1806. Nil.	H. Jodi account of 1821 Mallapura Sha Ali Raza durvish Beriz Paps 45—0—0 Jodi 5—0—0 Balance 40—0—0
H. Sawa Iram Thybiet of 1838 Mallapura:- Beriz —— 45—0—0 Jodi —— 5—0—0 Jari —— 40—0—0 Particulars of Enjoyment For Inam Extents —— 12-5-0 Mohadeen Shah &c —— 13.7.8 Women - —— 13.7.8 40—0—0		Jodi Sawa Iram acct of 1826 Mallapura Others as above. H. Jodi Sawa Iram Account of 1834 Mallapura. Bundaji Shah It is under mortgage to one Bhima Rao. Beriz —— 45—0—0 Inams enjoyed from the Commencement 7.9.8 52.9.8 Deduct Inams —7.9.8 Jari —— 40—0—0

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner If the umm has been subdivided, the name, etc, of each sharer should be entered in the columns

Residence and status	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Nelamangala	—	—	—
Sha Ali Raza			
Dervesh Makan			

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Divison on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
1	20

1. A whole grant and village.
2. Valid under Rule III
3. This Inam is Subject to all Cesses which may be imposed from time to time. No irrigation Cess was ever levied upon this Inam and the Local Fund Cess now paid is Rs 4-1-6.
4. Sayer and abkari ~~Items~~ items are reserved to the State and the Inamdars right to ~~Forest~~, if any, will be governed by the Chief Commissioner's Notification on that Subject.
5. There is no data available to fill up cols 3 and 4 which will however be done after Survey operations are Completed
6. The Manager Postem wants a Block Survey to This Inam.

(Col. 19 Contd)

7. There is a dispute between the manager and some illegitimate offspring of his family. The former alleged that this a Service grant and the latter that it is not. The Mejaman or head of the family had Sanads in his possession which he says were mislaid lately. This is found in the Jari accounts under the head of Fakeer Inams which fact shows that it was granted for the maintenance and up keep of an institution. The name of the Kem manager is generally entered in the account which cannot imply that it was granted for the personal benefit of the holder. There is a makan and annual ceremony is performed on a grand scale as per Amil's Certificate. If this had been a personal grant as alleged by the disputants, the makan would not have been in existence and the Services performed from the proceeds of the Inam. The disputants are of bad characters and ~~who~~ want this to be declared a

(Col: 19 Contd).

a personal grant in order to enjoy it without rendering any Service.

8. The disputants were repeatedly informed by me to be present during the Settlement of this Case and to adduce any evidence they may have to bring this under the head of personal Inam; but they have failed to do so evidently as they have no evidence to that effect. The existence of the Inam the performances of Services from the proceeds of the Inam, the entry of the Inam under Jakers head and the assertion of the manager pro tem Show beyond doubt that this is a religious grant and as such it Should be confirmed on the existing Jodi of Rs 15/- without fractions Subject to the approval of the Inam Supdt under Rule 5. I. D. which is to be given to the head of the Family Mohadeen Shah.

24.12.75 (Sd). Syed Nassaruddeen
Assistant.

N.B. Had this not been a Devadaya grant a large Share would not have been assigned to the Inam for its expenses. Vide H. Surva Inam Kyfeit account of 1838.

(Col: 19 Contd)

a Rajinamah was filed with regard to a certain dispute in Shares before Major Bond as far back as in 1838 in which it is clearly stated that that Shares stand good until the Inam Enquiry takes place. The manager for the time being reported to me that he has mislaid the original Sanads, but the Copies thereof have been preserved in the H. J. accounts from which this does not appear to be a personal grant. I have consulted all the accounts available and there is nothing to show that this grant was made for the benefit of the holder. Hence this is a Dewadaya grant and can be treated as such.

(Sd/-) Syed Nassur-deen.
Assistant

There is a petition from- Mohadeen Shah received from Inam Superintendent for disposal on the register. If what the petitioner says is true the parties therein alluded to will not be entitled to any Share ~~under~~ under the Mohamadan Law, but we have

nothing to do with the question of Shares and the Inam will be confirmed in the name of the institution. The manager must make his own arrangement, having the object of the grant in view.

The petition is herewith returned.

S. 4. 76. (Sd) Syed Nasarun Deen.
Assistant.

Note.

A. Independent Inams 4 viz.,

1. Devadaya — — — 1
2. Personal — — — — 1
3. Village Servants — — — 2
4

B. Subsequent alienations need not be noticed under the rules.

(C) Revenue enjoyed by the institution:- 1. Land Rev. (2) Mohatarfa. 3. Forest (4) Amarayi.

D. Statistics.

1. Village	1
2. Dakalies	2
3. Tank	1
4. Mud roofed house	1
5. Thatched - do -	8
6. Stone Mantapam.	2
7. Devastanes	1
8. Wells Small	2

(Col: 19 Contd)

9. Wells large —

10. Population: —

Men	Boys	
40.	10	89
Women	girls	
30	9	

E. Boundaries are to be fixed by the Survey Department.

24.12.75 (Sd) Syed Nassaruddeen
Assistant

(C 2

Review by the Special Assistant and Confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.

20.

1. Whole grant Devadaya Jari.
2. I Concur with the Assistant in Considering This a Devadaya and not a personal Inam. - Confirmed on the Jodi Rs 15/- Subject to the maintenance of the Anakan and Service.
3. The village is also Subject to all Local Funds and Irrigation Cesses.
4. The Govt rights to Sayer, Abkari and Forests are reserved.
5. A block Survey is desired and the boundaries to be defined by the Sur Department.

(Sd) Robert Cole
Supdt of I. Setts

8.4.76.

6. The Statement Showing the Survey area and valuation has been recd from the Sur. Supdt with his letter No. 470 dated 29 June 1878.

13. Confirmed finally on the ex
Jodi of Rs 16-/- avoiding fractions.

(Sd) J. P. Grant
Superintendent
of Inam Settlements.

29.6.83.

14. This village is not Subject to revision under Govt Proc: No 14223-5 P.7100-93 dated 17th February 94. vide Survey Superintendents Jadi No. 201/617 dated 4.6.96.

(Sd). J. P. Grant
Superintendent of
Inam Settlements.

29.9.96.

"Copy"

Compd 13/

Superintendent of
Inam Settlements.

Inam Subdts office
Dated 20.4.1916

(G) 2175

4 Cycle

1575

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

4 Cycle:

Appeal No. 12 of 1916 17

Appellant.	Vs.	Respondent
Bandagi Sha jitha Khadni of Velamangala.		Chik Hanumanthah,

Subject.

Petition from Bandigi Sha jitha Khadi of Velamangala Complaining of the unauthorized possesion by chik Hanumanthah, of certain lands in Jodi mallapura Village endowed to Bandigi Sha Makan at Velamangala.

order

The case was heard before Jmt on 2d march 1917, The appellant was present in person. ~~and also by his Advocate~~. The respdt chik Hanumanthia ~~was represented in person~~ by his advocate

The appellant's contention is that the respondent has

20/1/15

GPB—1,000—8-8-11

of certain lands belonging to the makkan has not been proved. On the contrary, the respondent has amply proved that the lands in question have been in the possession and enjoyment of his family for over 80 years as per patta granted by the grand-father of the appellant so far back as 1830-31. He must therefore be regarded as a Kadian Tenant of the appellant and so long as the appellant does not succeed in proving his contention in a Competent Court that the respondent was dispossessed of the lands by him for a period of 15 years, the respondent must continue to be regarded as such. The Village

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

is granted as a Devadaya inam to the makan and the respondent is prepared to pay full Survey assessment upon the lands that have been so long in possession and enjoyment of his family his arrangement is Sati in the interests of the institution and there is no reason to disturb his possession the appeal fails for reasons given above and is accordingly dismissed.

Memo no. Dy
17th May 1917

Copy is forwarded to the Dy. Commr., Bangalore Dist., for information.

(2) It is clear from the report of the Dy. Commr., that the affairs of the makan which is a muzrai

No 2864 Enclo Dy
mer 535-15-4

GPB—20,000—7 7 14

institution are not satisfactory and that no proper accounts have been kept by the apptt. who is the Mahandar. In the interests of the instn. it seems to be necessary to introduce detailed survey and settlement into the village at an early date; and to appoint a board of Nazarin-on-koffs to supervise the management of the instn. As a preliminary to the proper settlement of its affairs, the makan and its properties are taken under Govt. management; to which course the apptt. himself has expressed his consent.

(3) The Dy. Commr. is requested to submit at an early date a report on the arrangements made for the conduct of the requisite

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

(1)

Services in the matter and for the management of the properties.

(4) The records with a cover containing the original Deed of Vikriti Samratsana are herewith returned

B.O

8-5-17

Joint Secy.

7/5/17

9/5

12 5 17.

K.V

Fair (MR)

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

GPB—20,000—7-7-14